

REFERENCES

PREFACE

- (1) R.-M. S. Heffner, *General Phonetics*, Madison 1949; K. L. Pike, *Phonetics*, Ann Arbor 1943; R. H. Stetson, *Motor Phonetics*, Amsterdam 1951.
- (2) D. Jones, *An English Pronouncing Dictionary*, 7th ed., New York 1946, and *An Outline of English Phonetics*, 6th ed., New York 1940.
- (3) "The Principles of the International Phonetic Association, being a description of the International Phonetic Alphabet and the manner of using it," Department of Phonetics, University College, London, W.C.1, 1949.
- (4) "Transcription phonétique et translitération," - Propositions établies par la conférence tenue à Copenhague en Avril 1925, Oxford 1926.
- (5) R. Jakobson, *Sound and Meaning* (to appear).

CHAPTER I

- (1) D. Gabor, "Lectures on Communication Theory," Research Laboratory of Electronics, M.I.T. 1951.
- (2) D. Jones, *The Phoneme: Its Nature and Use*, Cambridge, 1950.
- (3) N. Wiener, *The Human Use of Human Beings*, Boston, 1950.
- (4) Y. R. Chao, "The Non-Uniqueness of Phonemic Solutions of Phonetic Systems," *Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology, Academia Sinica*, IV, part 4, Shanghai, 1934.
- (5) N. Trubetzkoy, "Grundzüge der Phonologie," *Travaux du Cercle Linguistique de Prague*, VII, 1939; or the French translation by J. Cannineau, *Principes de phonologie*, Paris 1949.
- (6) S. S. Stevens, "A Definition of Communication," *J. Ac. Soc. Am.*, XXII, No. 6, 1950.
- (7) R. M. Fano, "The Transmission of Information," M.I.T., Research Laboratory of Electronics, Technical Report, No. 65, 1949.
- (8) R. Potter, "Visible Patterns of Sound," *Science*, 1945.
- (9) B. Bloch, "A set of postulates for phonemic analysis," *Language*, XXIV, 1948.
- (10) L. L. Beranek, *Acoustic Measurements*, New York 1949.
- (11) R. M. Fano, "The Information Theory Point of View in Speech Communication," *J. Ac. Soc. Am.*, XXII, No. 6, 1950.
- (12) C. C. Fries and K. L. Pike, "Coexistent Phonemic Systems," *Language*, XXV, 1949.
- (13) R. Jakobson, "On the Identification of Phonemic Entities," *Travaux du Cercle Linguistique de Copenhague*, V, 1949.
- (14) K. Togeby, "Structure immanente de la langue française," *Travaux du Cercle Linguistique de Copenhague*, VI, 1951.
- (15) M. Joos, "Description of Language Design," *J. Ac. Soc. Am.*, XXII, No. 5, 1950.
- (16) T. Chiba and M. Kajiyama, *The Vowel, Its Nature and Structure*, Tokyo, 1941; C. G. M. Fant, "Transmission Properties of the Vocal Tract," M.I.T. Acoustics Laboratory, *Quarterly Progress Report*, July-Septem-

- ber, and October-December, 1950; H. K. Dunn, "The Calculation of Vowel Resonances, and an Electrical Vocal Tract," *J. Ac. Soc. Am.*, XXII, No. 6, 1950.
- (17) S. S. Stevens and H. Davis, *Hearing*, New York 1938.
 - (18) K. L. Pike, *Tone Languages*, Ann Arbor 1948.
 - (19) S. Smith, "Contribution to the Solution of Problems Concerning the Danish Stød," *Nordisk Tidsskrift for Tale og Stemme*, VIII, 1944.
 - (20) Z. S. Harris, *Methods in Structural Linguistics*, Chicago 1951.
 - (21) E. Sapir, "Grading: A Study in Semantics," *Selected Writings*, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1949.

CHAPTER II

- (1) R. K. Potter, G. A. Kopp, and H. C. Green, *Visible Speech*, New York 1947.
- (2) E. A. Guillemin, *Communication Networks*, II, New York 1935; W. H. Huggins, "Conjectures Concerning the Analysis and Synthesis of Speech in Terms of Natural Frequencies," *Cambridge Field Station Report*, 9 February 1949.
- (3) C. G. M. Fant, "Transmission Properties of the Vocal Tract," M.I.T. Acoustics Laboratory, Quarterly Progress Report, July-September 1950 and October-December 1950; "Transmission Properties of the Vocal Tract," Technical Report #12, M.I.T. Acoustics Laboratory, 1952; "Acoustic Analysis of Speech -- A Study for the Swedish Language," *Ericsson Technics*, 1952.
- (4) C. F. Sacia and C. J. Beck, "The Power of Fundamental Speech Sounds," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, V, 1926.
- (5) P. Menzerath and A. de Lacerda, *Koartikulation, Steuerung und Lautabgrenzung*, Berlin - Bonn 1933.
- (6) N. J. Jušmanov, "Phonetic Parallels between the African and the Japhetic Languages," Academy of Sciences of the USSR, *Africana*, I, Leningrad 1937; R. Stopa, "Die Schnalzlaute im Zusammenhang mit den sonstigen Lautarten der menschlichen Sprache," *Archiv f. vergleichende Phonetik*, III, 1939.
- (7) E. Sapir, "Glottalized Continuants in Navaho, Nootka, and Kwakiutl," *Selected Writings*, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1949.
- (8) J. C. R. Licklider, "Basic Correlates of the Auditory Stimulus," *Handbook of Experimental Psychology*, ed. by S. S. Stevens, New York 1951.
- (9) N. Trubetzkoy, "Les consonnes latérales de quelques langues caucasiennes septentrionales," *Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris*, XXII, 1922.
- (10) H. Dudley, "The Carrier Nature of Speech," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* XIX, 1940.
- (11) R. K. Potter and J. C. Steinberg, "Toward the Specification of Speech," *J. Ac. Soc. Am.*, XXII, No. 6, 1950.
- (12) P. Delattre, "The Physiological Interpretation of Sound Spectrograms," *PMLA* LXVI, No. 5, 1951.
- (13) A. Sovijärvi, *Die gehaltenen, geflüsterten und gesungenen Vokale und Nasale der finnischen Sprache*, Helsinki 1938.

- (14) H. Fletcher, *Speech and Hearing*, New York 1929.
- (15) A. M. Liberman, P. Delattre and F. S. Cooper, "Study of One Factor in the Perception of the Unvoiced Stop Consonants," Program of the Forty-second Meeting of the Acoustical Society of America, Chicago 1951.
- (16) S. S. Newman, "Further Experiments in Phonetic Symbolism," *American Journal of Psychology*, 1933.
- (17) K. Huber, "Die Vokalmischung und das Qualitätsystem der Vokale," *Archiv für Psychologie*, XCI, 1934.
- (18) L'Abbé Millet, *Etude expérimentale de la formation des voyelles*, Paris 1938.
- (19) B. Pollard and B. Hála, *Les radiographies de l'articulation des sons tchèques*, Prague 1926; B. Hála, *Uvod do fonetiky*, Prague 1948.
- (20) W. H. Gairdner, *The Phonetics of Arabic*, Oxford, 1925.
- (21) C. Stumpf, *Die Sprachlaute*, Berlin 1926.
- (22) R. Jakobson "Kindersprache, Aphasie und allgemeine Lautgesetze," *Språkvetenskapliga Sällskapets i Uppsala Förhandlingar*, 1940-1942.
- (23) D. B. Judd, "Basic Correlates of the Visual Stimulus," *Handbook of Experimental Psychology*, ed. by S. S. Stevens, New York 1951.
- (24) P. L. Garvin, "Wichita I: Phonemics," *Int. J. Am. Ling.* XVI, 1950.
- (25) N. Trubetzkoy, "Die Konsonantensysteme der ostkaukasischen Sprachen," *Caucasica*, VIII, 1931.
- (26) P. - J. Rousselot, *Principes de phonétique expérimentale*, II, Paris 1908.
- (27) D. Westermann and I. C. Ward, *Practical Phonetics for Students of African Languages*, London 1933.
- (28) M. Joos, *Acoustic Phonetics*, Baltimore 1948.
- (29) N. Nitsch, *Z historji polskich rymów*, Warsaw 1912.
- (30) H. Sweet, *A Primer of Phonetics*, Oxford, 1906.
- (31) M. Dluska, "Polskie afrykaty," *Travaux du Laboratoire de phonétique expérimentale de l'Université Jean-Casimir de Léopol*, II, 1937.
- (32) Sokolowsky, "Zur Charakteristik der Vokale," *Zeitschrift f. Hals-Nasen-und Ohrenheilkunde*, VI, 1923.
- (33) S. H. Chang, G. E. Pihl and J. Wiren, "The Intervalgram as a Visual Representation of Speech Sounds," *J. Ac. Soc. Am.* XXIII, No. 6, 1951.
- (34) R. Jakobson and J. Lotz, "Notes on the French Phonemic Pattern," *Word*, V, 1949.

APPENDIX

- (1) A. A. Markov, "Essai d'une recherche statistique sur le texte du roman 'Eugène Onégin', illustrant la liaison des épreuves en chaîne," *Bulletin de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Petersbourg*, VII, 1913.
- (2) C. E. Shannon, "The Redundancy of English," *Cybernetics, Transactions of the Seventh Conference*, ed. by H. von Foerster, New York 1951 and "Prediction and Entropy of Printed English," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* XXX, 1951.
- (3) C. E. Shannon and W. Weaver, *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*, Urbana 1949.

SUPPLEMENT

Teneseness and Laxness

ROMAN JAKOBSON and MORRIS HALLE

In discussing the opposition of the so-called tense and lax vowel classes, particularly the distinction between the tense /i/ and /u/ and the lax /ɪ/ and /ʊ/, Daniel Jones states that the reference to the different degrees of muscular tension on the part of the tongue is inadequate. ‘A description of the English short [i] as a vowel in which the tongue is lowered and retracted from the “close” position is generally sufficiently accurate for ordinary, practical work. The term “lax” may also be used to describe the organic position of the English short [u] (in *put* /put/) as compared with the long “tense” [u:] in *boot* /bu:t/). Here the organic characteristics of short [u] as compared with long [u:] might be more accurately described as a lowering and advancement of the tongue and a wider opening of the lips.’¹ This lowered and *retracted* [i] and the lowered and *advanced* [u] along with all other lax vowels, as observed by Carl Stumpf, ‘shift toward the middle of the vocalic triangle’.² Any lax vowel ‘liegt stets mehr nach der Dreiecksmitte zu’ than the corresponding tense vowel (p. 262). Hence, as was noted by Gunnar Fant and ourselves³ a tense vowel compared to its lax counterpart is produced with a greater deviation from the neutral position of the vocal tract, i.e. from the position that the vocal tract assumes in producing a very open [æ]; consequently a tense vowel displays a greater deviation from the neutral formant pattern.⁴

In the chapter ‘Vowels’ in his ‘Handbook of Phonetics’ (1877), Henry Sweet declared that ‘the most important general modifications are those which cause the distinction of narrow and wide’ (since renamed ‘tense’ and ‘lax’). Sweet succeeded in demonstrating the autonomy of each of these two series ‘from high to low’ and the possibility of a division of any vocalic class into pairs of tense and lax vowels. In the following we shall differentiate these two series by employing the exponent ¹ for tense vowels, and the exponent ² for lax vowels, a device that has often been used in dialectology.

This autonomy of the tense-lax distinction is clearly exhibited by those African languages which display vowel harmony based on the opposition of tense and lax. Thus in Bari with its five tense and five corresponding lax vowels – /u¹/, /o¹/, /a¹/, /e¹/, /i¹/, and /u²/, /o²/, /a²/, /e²/, /i²/ – ‘a word with a tense vowel in the stem will have a lax vowel in the prefix or suffix’: cf. /to¹-gi¹rja¹/, *to make wipe*, and /to²-gi²rja²/, *to cause to cicatrize*.⁵ Likewise in Maasai, stems consist either of tense

Written in Stanford, California, March 1961, for the Commemorative Volume to Daniel Jones (London 1962).